

Concentration analysis of multivariate elliptic diffusions

43rd Conference on Stochastic Processes and their Applications – Lisbon 2023

Lukas Trottner

joint work with Cathrine Aeckerle-Willems and Claudia Strauch

24 July 2023

Aarhus University

University of Mannheim



AARHUS UNIVERSITY

Some known concentration results for Markov processes

Let X be a nice ergodic Markov processes on \mathbb{R}^d with semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, generator L and invariant distribution μ . We are interested in

$$\mathbb{C}_v(f, T, x) := \mathbb{P}^v \left(\left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(X_t) dt - \mu(f) \right| > x \right), \quad f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mu), x, T > 0.$$

Some known concentration results for Markov processes

Let X be a nice ergodic Markov processes on \mathbb{R}^d with semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, generator L and invariant distribution μ . We are interested in

$$\mathbb{C}_\nu(f, T, x) := \mathbb{P}^\nu \left(\left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(X_t) dt - \mu(f) \right| > x \right), \quad f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mu), x, T > 0.$$

Bounds have been mostly studied with two approaches (*Lyapunov vs. Poincaré* [BCG08]):

1. Functional inequalities:

- Poincaré inequality:

$$\text{Var}_\mu(g) := \mu(g^2) - \mu(g)^2 \leq C_P \langle -Lg, g \rangle_\mu := C_P \int g(x)(-Lg(x)) \mu(dx), \quad g \in D(L).$$

[Lez01] For $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$ and $\nu \ll \mu$, $d\nu/d\mu \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mu)$,

$$\mathbb{C}_\nu(f, T, x) \leq 2 \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mu)} \exp \left(- \frac{Tx^2}{2(\sigma^2(f) + 2C_P \|f\|_\infty x)} \right),$$

Some known concentration results for Markov processes

Let X be a nice ergodic Markov processes on \mathbb{R}^d with semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, generator L and invariant distribution μ . We are interested in

$$C_\nu(f, T, x) := \mathbb{P}^\nu \left(\left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(X_t) dt - \mu(f) \right| > x \right), \quad f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mu), x, T > 0.$$

Bounds have been mostly studied with two approaches (*Lyapunov vs. Poincaré* [BCG08]):

1. Functional inequalities:

- **Poincaré inequality:**

$$\text{Var}_\mu(g) := \mu(g^2) - \mu(g)^2 \leq C_P \langle -Lg, g \rangle_\mu := C_P \int g(x)(-Lg(x)) \mu(dx), \quad g \in D(L).$$

[Lez01] For $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$ and $\nu \ll \mu$, $d\nu/d\mu \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mu)$,

$$C_\nu(f, T, x) \leq 2 \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mu)} \exp \left(- \frac{Tx^2}{2(\sigma^2(f) + 2C_P \|f\|_\infty x)} \right),$$

- **log-Sobolev inequality:** $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ symmetric and

$$\text{Ent}_\mu(g^2) := \mu(g^2 \log g^2) - \mu(g^2) \log \mu(g^2) \leq 2C_{LS} \langle -Lg, g \rangle_\mu, \quad g \in D(L).$$

[GGW14] For $|f(x)| \lesssim 1 + \|x\|^2$,

$$C_\nu(f, T, x) \leq 2 \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mu)} \exp \left(- \frac{Tx^2}{2(\sigma^2(f) + C_P(\Lambda^*)^{-1}(2C_{LS}/C_P)x)} \right)$$

2. **Mixing assumptions:** for $q \in [0, 1)$,

$$\alpha_\nu(t) := \sup_{s \geq 0} \sup_{A \in \sigma(X_u, u \leq s), B \in \sigma(X_u, u \geq s+t)} |\mathbb{P}^\nu(A \cap B) - \mathbb{P}^\nu(A)\mathbb{P}^\nu(B)| \lesssim \exp(-t^{\frac{1-q}{1+q}}).$$

For reasonable ν guaranteed given **(sub)exponential ergodicity** of (P_t) , i.e.,

$$\|P_t(x, \cdot) - \mu\|_{\text{TV}} \lesssim V(x) \exp(-t^{\frac{1-q}{1+q}}).$$

[CG08] For $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{C}_\mu(f, T, x) \leq 2 \exp\left(-c(q) \left(\frac{x\sqrt{T}}{\|f\|_\infty}\right)^{1-q}\right), \quad x \geq C(c, q)/\sqrt{T}.$$

- Let X be a (weak) solution to the SDE

$$dX_t = b(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t,$$

$b \in \text{Lip}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\sigma \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ and bounded, $a := \sigma \sigma^\top$ s.t. $\lambda_- \mathbb{I} \leq a(x) \leq \lambda_+ \mathbb{I}$, $\forall x$

Martingale approximation for diffusions

- Let X be a (weak) solution to the SDE

$$dX_t = b(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t,$$

$b \in \text{Lip}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\sigma \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ and bounded, $a := \sigma \sigma^\top$ s.t. $\lambda_- \mathbb{I} \leq a(x) \leq \lambda_+ \mathbb{I}$, $\forall x$

- Let $L = b^\top \nabla + \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j}$ and suppose that for given $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the **Poisson equation** $Lg = f$ has some sufficiently regular solution $L^{-1}[f]$

Martingale approximation for diffusions

- Let X be a (weak) solution to the SDE

$$dX_t = b(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t,$$

$b \in \text{Lip}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\sigma \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ and bounded, $a := \sigma\sigma^\top$ s.t. $\lambda_- \mathbb{I} \leq a(x) \leq \lambda_+ \mathbb{I}$, $\forall x$

- Let $L = b^\top \nabla + \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j}$ and suppose that for given $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the **Poisson equation** $Lg = f$ has some sufficiently regular solution $L^{-1}[f]$
- By Itô's formula:

$$\int_0^t f(X_s) ds = \underbrace{\int_0^t (-\nabla L^{-1}[f](X_s))^\top \sigma(X_s) dW_s}_{(\text{loc.}) \text{ martingale}} + \underbrace{L^{-1}[f](X_t) - L^{-1}[f](X_0)}_{\text{remainder}}$$

Martingale approximation for diffusions

- Let X be a (weak) solution to the SDE

$$dX_t = b(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t,$$

$b \in \text{Lip}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\sigma \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ and bounded, $a := \sigma \sigma^\top$ s.t. $\lambda_- \mathbb{I} \leq a(x) \leq \lambda_+ \mathbb{I}$, $\forall x$

- Let $L = b^\top \nabla + \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j}$ and suppose that for given $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the **Poisson equation** $Lg = f$ has some sufficiently regular solution $L^{-1}[f]$
- By Itô's formula:

$$\int_0^t f(X_s) ds = \underbrace{\int_0^t (-\nabla L^{-1}[f](X_s))^\top \sigma(X_s) dW_s}_{\text{(loc.) martingale}} + \underbrace{L^{-1}[f](X_t) - L^{-1}[f](X_0)}_{\text{remainder}}$$

\rightsquigarrow If we have some control on $L^{-1}[f]$, $\nabla L^{-1}[f]$ we can use martingale approximation for derivation of concentration bounds

Martingale approximation for diffusions

- Let X be a (weak) solution to the SDE

$$dX_t = b(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t,$$

$b \in \text{Lip}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\sigma \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ and bounded, $a := \sigma \sigma^\top$ s.t. $\lambda_- \mathbb{I} \leq a(x) \leq \lambda_+ \mathbb{I}$, $\forall x$

- Let $L = b^\top \nabla + \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j}$ and suppose that for given $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the **Poisson equation** $Lg = f$ has some sufficiently regular solution $L^{-1}[f]$
- By Itô's formula:

$$\int_0^t f(X_s) ds = \underbrace{\int_0^t (-\nabla L^{-1}[f](X_s))^\top \sigma(X_s) dW_s}_{(\text{loc.}) \text{ martingale}} + \underbrace{L^{-1}[f](X_t) - L^{-1}[f](X_0)}_{\text{remainder}}$$

↪ If we have some control on $L^{-1}[f]$, $\nabla L^{-1}[f]$ we can use martingale approximation for derivation of concentration bounds

- employed in case $d = 1$ for **exponentially ergodic** diffusions in [AWS21; GP07] and for $d \geq 1$ and **periodic drift** [NR20] in the context of drift estimation

Assume $\|b(x)\| \lesssim 1 + \|x\|^\kappa$ and for some $q \in (-1, 1)$, $\tau, A > 0$,

$$\langle b(x), x/\|x\| \rangle \leq -\tau\|x\|^{-q}, \quad \|x\| > A. \quad (\mathcal{D}(q))$$

[DFG09] implies

$$\|P_t(x, \cdot) - \mu\|_{\text{TV}} \lesssim \exp(\iota\|x\|^{1-q+}) \exp\left(-\iota' t^{\frac{1-q+}{1+q+}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(\iota\|x\|^{1-q+}) \mu(dx) < \infty.$$

[PV01; BRS18] If $\mu(f) = 0$ and $|f(x)| \lesssim 1 + \|x\|^\eta$, then for $L^{-1}[f](x) := -\int_0^\infty P_t f(x) dt$ we have $L^{-1}[f] \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $p > 1$, $L^{-1}[f]$ solves the Poisson equation and

$$|L^{-1}[f](x)| \lesssim 1 + \|x\|^{\eta+1+q}, \quad \|\nabla L^{-1}[f](x)\| \lesssim 1 + \|x\|^{\eta+\kappa+1+q}.$$

Theorem [TAWS23]

Assume $(\mathcal{D}(q))$, $\|b(x)\| \lesssim 1 + \|x\|^\kappa$ and $|f(x)| \leq \mathfrak{L}(1 + \|x\|^\eta)$. Let

$$\rho(\eta, \kappa, q) := \begin{cases} 1/(1 - q_+), & \eta = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta + \kappa + 1 + q}{1 - q_+}, & \eta > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists a constant $c > 0$ s.t. for any $x \geq 2/\sqrt{T}$,

$$\mathbb{C}_\mu(f, T, x) := \mathbb{P}^\mu \left(\left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(X_t) dt - \mu(f) \right| > x \right) \leq \exp \left(-c \left(\frac{x\sqrt{T}}{\mathfrak{L}} \right)^{1/\rho(\eta, \kappa, q)} \right).$$

Continuous-time concentration result

Theorem [TAWS23]

Assume $(\mathcal{D}(q))$, $\|b(x)\| \lesssim 1 + \|x\|^\kappa$ and $|f(x)| \leq \mathfrak{L}(1 + \|x\|^\eta)$. Let

$$\rho(\eta, \kappa, q) := \begin{cases} 1/(1 - q_+), & \eta = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta + \kappa + 1 + q}{1 - q_+}, & \eta > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists a constant $c > 0$ s.t. for any $x \geq 2/\sqrt{T}$,

$$\mathbb{C}_\mu(f, T, x) := \mathbb{P}^\mu \left(\left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(X_t) dt - \mu(f) \right| > x \right) \leq \exp \left(-c \left(\frac{x\sqrt{T}}{\mathfrak{L}} \right)^{1/\rho(\eta, \kappa, q)} \right).$$

Poincaré, $\eta = 0$	log-Sobolev, $\eta \leq 2$	subexponential, $\eta > 0$
$\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\varepsilon}$	$\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\varepsilon}$	$\frac{\log(1/\delta)^{2\rho(\eta, \kappa, q)}}{\varepsilon^2}$

Table 1: Order of sufficient sample length $\Psi(\varepsilon, \delta)$ s.t. (ε, δ) -PAC-bound $\mathbb{P}^\mu(|\mu_T(f) - \mu(f)| \leq \varepsilon) \geq 1 - \delta$ holds for $T \geq \Psi(\varepsilon, \delta)$

Let observations $(X_{k\Delta})_{k=1,\dots,n}$ be given for some $\Delta \leq 1$. **Discrete MC-estimator:**

$$\mathbb{H}_n^\Delta(f) := \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{k=1}^n f(X_{k\Delta})\Delta.$$

Then for $\mathbb{H}_t(f) := t^{-1} \int_0^T f(X_t) dt$, $f = \tilde{f} - \mu(\tilde{f})$, $\Phi_k(t) := \int_t^{k\Delta} (L\tilde{f}(X_s) - \mu(L\tilde{f})) ds$,
 $\omega_k(t) := \int_t^{k\Delta} \nabla \tilde{f}(X_s)^\top \sigma(X_s) dW_s$,

$$n\Delta(\mathbb{H}_n^\Delta(f) - \mathbb{H}_{n\Delta}(f)) = \mu(L\tilde{f}) \frac{n\Delta^2}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)\Delta}^{k\Delta} \Phi_k(t) dt + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)\Delta}^{k\Delta} \omega_k(t) dt.$$

Discrete-time concentration result

Let observations $(X_{k\Delta})_{k=1,\dots,n}$ be given for some $\Delta \leq 1$. Define

$$\mathbb{H}_n^\Delta(f) := \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{k=1}^n f(X_{k\Delta})\Delta.$$

Then for $\mathbb{H}_t(f) := t^{-1} \int_0^T f(X_t) dt$, $f = \tilde{f} - \mu(\tilde{f})$, $\Phi_k(t) := \int_t^{k\Delta} (L\tilde{f}(X_s) - \mu(L\tilde{f})) ds$,

$$\omega_k(t) := \int_t^{k\Delta} \nabla \tilde{f}(X_s)^\top \sigma(X_s) dW_s,$$

$$n\Delta(\mathbb{H}_n^\Delta(f) - \mathbb{H}_{n\Delta}(f)) = \mu(L\tilde{f}) \frac{n\Delta^2}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)\Delta}^{k\Delta} \Phi_k(t) dt + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)\Delta}^{k\Delta} \omega_k(t) dt.$$

Theorem [TAWS23]

Assume $(\mathcal{D}(q))$, $\|b(x)\| \lesssim 1 + \|x\|^\kappa$ and $\|D^k f(x)\| \lesssim 1 + \|x\|^{\eta_k}$, $k = 0, 1, 2$. Define $\alpha := (\kappa + \eta_1) \vee \eta_2$, and let $\tilde{\gamma} > 1 + q$, $r > 1$, s.t. $\tilde{\gamma} - (1 + q) > r(\alpha \vee (1 + q))/(r - 1)$. Then, for $p \geq 2$,

$$\|\mathbb{H}_n^\Delta(f) - \mu(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}^\mu)} \leq \mathfrak{D} \left(\Delta + \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{n}} p^{\frac{\max\{(\tilde{\gamma} + 2\alpha + 1 - q_+)/2, \eta_1 + 1 - q_+\}}{1 - q_+}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\Delta}} p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta_1 + \kappa + 1 + q}{1 - q_+}} \right) := \Phi(n, \Delta, p),$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}^\mu \left(\|\mathbb{H}_n^\Delta(f) - \mu(f)\| > e\Phi(n, \Delta, x) \right) \leq e^{-x}, \quad x \geq 2.$$

Statistical Application

Lasso for parametrized drifts

For a given **dictionary** $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\}$ of Lipschitz functions $\psi_i: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, let X be the strong solution to

$$dX_t = b_{\theta^0}(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t, \quad \text{where} \quad b_{\theta^0}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i^0 \psi_i(x).$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\psi}(x) = (\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_N(x))$, $\boldsymbol{\Psi}(x) := (\sigma^{-1}(x)\boldsymbol{\psi}(x))^\top \sigma^{-1}(x)\boldsymbol{\psi}(x)$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_T := T^{-1} \int_0^T \boldsymbol{\Psi}(X_t) dt$.

Then for $b_\theta := \boldsymbol{\psi}\theta$, negative **log-likelihood** given by

$$\mathcal{L}_T(\theta) = \mathcal{L}_T(b_\theta) = \theta^\top \bar{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_T \theta - 2\theta^\top \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \boldsymbol{\psi}(X_t)^\top a^{-1}(X_t) dX_t.$$

Lasso for parametrized drifts

For a given **dictionary** $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\}$ of Lipschitz functions $\psi_i: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, let X be the strong solution to

$$dX_t = b_{\theta^0}(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t, \quad \text{where} \quad b_{\theta^0}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i^0 \psi_i(x).$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\psi}(x) = (\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_N(x))$, $\boldsymbol{\Psi}(x) := (\sigma^{-1}(x)\boldsymbol{\psi}(x))^\top \sigma^{-1}(x)\boldsymbol{\psi}(x)$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_T := T^{-1} \int_0^T \boldsymbol{\Psi}(X_t) dt$.

Then for $b_\theta := \boldsymbol{\psi}\theta$, negative **log-likelihood** given by

$$\mathcal{L}_T(\theta) = \mathcal{L}_T(b_\theta) = \theta^\top \bar{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_T \theta - 2\theta^\top \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \boldsymbol{\psi}(X_t)^\top a^{-1}(X_t) dX_t.$$

Goal

Study convergence guarantees of **Lasso estimator**

$$\hat{\theta}_T := \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{ \mathcal{L}_T(\theta) + \lambda \|\theta\|_1 \},$$

under sparsity assumptions on θ^0 , i.e., $\|\theta^0\|_0 \leq s_0$.

Assumptions and examples

We assume

1. $\exists A, \tau > 0, q \in [-1, 1) : \langle b_{\theta^0}(x), x/\|x\| \rangle \leq -\tau\|x\|^{-q}, \quad \|x\| > A;$
2. $\lambda_{\max}(\Psi(x)) \lesssim 1 + \|x\|^{2\eta};$
3. $\bar{\Psi}_T$ is positive definite \mathbb{P}_{θ^0} -a.s.

Example 1: **Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process:** $N = d^2,$

[GM19;
CMP20]

$$b_{\theta^0}(x) = A_{\theta^0}x.$$

If A_{θ^0} is symmetric, negative definite $\rightsquigarrow q = -1, \eta = 1.$

Example 2: $N = 2d^2,$

$$b_{\theta^0}(x) = A_{\theta^0}x + B_{\theta^0}x(\alpha + \|x\|)^{-(1+\tilde{q})}.$$

If A_{θ^0} is singular and negative semi-definite and B_{θ^0} is negative definite $\rightsquigarrow q = \tilde{q}, \eta = 1$

- Proof of high probability bounds relies on having good control over the spectrum of the empirical Gram matrix $\bar{\Psi}_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Psi(X_t) dt$

Restricted eigenvalue property

- Proof of high probability bounds relies on having good control over the spectrum of the empirical Gram matrix $\bar{\Psi}_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Psi(X_t) dt$

↪ control

$$\inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \theta^\top \bar{\Psi}_T \theta = \inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|\sigma^{-1}(X_t) b_\theta(X_t)\|^2 dt,$$

for appropriate $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ in terms of $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbb{E}[\bar{\Psi}_T]) =: \lambda_{\min}^\infty$ via concentration inequality for (unbounded) b_θ and covering arguments

Restricted eigenvalue property

- Proof of high probability bounds relies on having good control over the spectrum of the empirical Gram matrix $\bar{\Psi}_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Psi(X_t) dt$

↪ control

$$\inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \theta^\top \bar{\Psi}_T \theta = \inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|\sigma^{-1}(X_t) b_\theta(X_t)\|^2 dt,$$

for appropriate $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ in terms of $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbb{E}[\bar{\Psi}_T]) =: \lambda_{\min}^\infty$ via concentration inequality for (unbounded) b_θ and covering arguments

- for some sparsity dependent $\mathcal{S}(s)$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}(s)} \theta^\top \bar{\Psi}_T \theta \geq \frac{\lambda_{\min}^\infty}{2}\right) \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

for

$$T \geq T_0(\varepsilon, s, N, q, \eta) \sim \left\{ \log \left(21^{2s} \left(N \wedge \left(\frac{eN}{2s} \right)^{2s} \right) \right) + \log(1/\varepsilon) \right\}^{\frac{6\eta+2q+3-q_+}{1-q_+}} \cdot \frac{1}{(\lambda_{\min}^\infty)^2}.$$

Theorem [TAWS23]

Suppose $\|\theta^0\|_0 \leq s_0$ and fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If $T \geq T_0(\varepsilon/3, s_0, N, q, \eta)$, then for the choice $\lambda \asymp \sqrt{\log(N/\varepsilon)/T}$ with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$,

$$\|\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0\|_{L^2}^2 := (\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0)^\top \bar{\Psi}_T (\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0) \lesssim \frac{\log(N/\varepsilon)s_0}{T}.$$

Summary

- we provide concentration inequalities for subexponentially ergodic diffusions and polynomially bounded functions given continuous observations
- concentration inequalities for sampled chains are derived from the continuous observation result
- we demonstrate implications for sufficient sample size guarantees in the context of sparse estimation of parametrized diffusion models
- **not part of this talk:** finite sample error bounds for Langevin SDEs with moderately heavy tails

Paper available as

“Trottner, L., Aeckerle-Willems, C., and C. Strauch. Concentration analysis of multivariate elliptic diffusions. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 24 (2023), paper no. 106, pp. 1–38.”



Summary

- we provide concentration inequalities for subexponentially ergodic diffusions and polynomially bounded functions given continuous observations
- concentration inequalities for sampled chains are derived from the continuous observation result
- we demonstrate implications for sufficient sample size guarantees in the context of sparse estimation of parametrized diffusion models
- **not part of this talk:** finite sample error bounds for Langevin SDEs with moderately heavy tails

Paper available as

“Trottner, L., Aeckerle-Willems, C., and C. Strauch. Concentration analysis of multivariate elliptic diffusions. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 24 (2023), paper no. 106, pp. 1–38.”



Thank you for your attention!